

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Aloxandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/658,193	09/08/2000	Takayuki Niuya	08038.0032	2409
22852	7590 07/23/2003			
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER			EXAMINER	
LLP 1300 I STREET, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20005		MALDONADO, JULIO J		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•	•	2823	

DATE MAILED: 07/23/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application N .	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/658,193	NIUYA ET AL.				
•	Examin r	Art Unit				
	Julio J. Maldonado	2823				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cov r she t with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 15 July 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The danave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortener (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	nsion and the corresponding amount of the d statutory period for reply originally set in	fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
7. Solution in the proposed amendment(s) a) Solution will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>11-16, 20 and 21</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
\boxtimes The proposed drawing correction filed on <u>15 July 2003</u> is a) \boxtimes approved or b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.						
☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. Other:		George Fourson Primary Examiner				

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303) 09/658,193

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The amendment filed 07/15/2003 in reply to the final rejection has been considered but is not deemed to place the application in condition for allowance and will not be entered because: The proposed amendment raises new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. The amended independent claims now add the limitation "...simultaneously...and the protective film..." where there was no mention within the claims of this limitation. While this places the application in better condition for allowance, it raises new issues into the prosecution of the instant application and would thus provide grounds for a new search..